

De-Risking by Banks: Implications for Fintech Firms Handling Virtual Currencies and the Role of AI in Enhancing Anti-Money Laundering Efforts in FinTech

By Massimo Simbula

The phenomenon of de-risking, where banks close accounts or restrict services to customers deemed high-risk, has garnered significant attention in recent years. Particularly, the closure of accounts without adequate Anti-Money Laundering (AML) documentation has profound implications for fintech firms, especially those dealing with virtual currencies. The European Banking Authority (EBA) has expressed its concerns and provided an in-depth analysis in its opinion document published in January 2022, highlighting the repercussions of such practices¹.

Understanding De-Risking

De-risking refers to the strategic decision by financial institutions to limit exposure to risk by terminating or restricting relationships with clients considered high-risk for money laundering or terrorist financing. This often includes customers involved in virtual currencies, non-profit organizations, and businesses in high-risk jurisdictions.

While de-risking is aimed at ensuring compliance with AML and Counter-Terrorist Financing (CTF) regulations, it can lead to unintended consequences. The EBA's opinion and annexed report shed light on these challenges **and propose a balanced approach to mitigate risks without stifling legitimate financial activities.**

The EBA's Opinion on De-Risking

The EBA acknowledges that de-risking can be a legitimate response to manage financial crime risks but emphasizes that it should not be used as a blanket strategy. Instead, a more nuanced approach is recommended, which involves:

1. Risk-Based Approach: Banks should adopt a risk-based approach to AML/CTF compliance, assessing each customer's risk individually rather than relying on broad categorizations.
2. Enhanced Due Diligence: For high-risk customers, banks should implement enhanced due diligence measures rather than outright termination of accounts.
3. Engagement with Customers: Financial institutions are encouraged to engage with customers to understand their business models and provide guidance on improving AML/CTF controls.

Impact on Fintech Firms Handling Virtual Currencies

Fintech firms, particularly those involved in virtual currencies, have been significantly affected by de-risking. The EBA's report highlights several consequences:

1. Access to Banking Services: Fintech firms often struggle to access essential banking services due to perceived AML/CTF risks. This can hinder their operations and growth, as they rely on traditional banking for various financial services.
2. Innovation Stifling: De-risking can stifle innovation in the financial sector. Fintech firms are at the forefront of developing new financial technologies and services, and restricted access to banking services can slow down their progress.

¹ For a detailed understanding of the EBA's stance and recommendations, the full opinion document is available here [https://www.eba.europa.eu/sites/default/files/document_library/Publications/Opinions/2022/Opinion%20on%20de-risking%20\(EBA-Op-2022-01\)/1025705/EBA%20Opinion%20and%20annexed%20report%20on%20de-risking.pdf](https://www.eba.europa.eu/sites/default/files/document_library/Publications/Opinions/2022/Opinion%20on%20de-risking%20(EBA-Op-2022-01)/1025705/EBA%20Opinion%20and%20annexed%20report%20on%20de-risking.pdf)

3. Financial Inclusion: The closure of accounts can also affect financial inclusion efforts. Many fintech firms aim to provide financial services to underserved populations. De-risking can limit their ability to reach these groups, countering the broader goals of financial inclusion.

EBA's Recommendations for Addressing De-Risking

To mitigate the negative impact of de-risking on fintech firms, the EBA provides several recommendations:

1. Proportionality: Supervisors and banks should ensure that measures to mitigate AML/CTF risks are proportionate to the risks identified. Blanket de-risking should be avoided.
2. Dialogue and Cooperation: There should be ongoing dialogue and cooperation between banks, fintech firms, and regulators. This helps in understanding the unique challenges faced by each party and developing tailored solutions.
3. Regulatory Clarity: Clear and consistent regulatory guidelines are essential to ensure that banks have a proper understanding of AML/CTF requirements and the expectations from fintech firms.

The practice of de-risking, while aimed at mitigating financial crime risks, has far-reaching consequences for fintech firms handling virtual currencies. The EBA's opinion underscores the need for a balanced approach that ensures compliance without undermining the potential of fintech innovation and financial inclusion. By adopting a risk-based approach, enhancing due diligence, and fostering collaboration between stakeholders, the negative impacts of de-risking can be mitigated, allowing fintech firms to thrive and contribute to the evolution of the financial sector.

The Role of AI in Enhancing Anti-Money Laundering Efforts in FinTech

Money laundering, a critical issue in the financial sector, poses significant risks to the integrity of financial institutions and the broader economy. FinTech companies, known for their innovation and agility, face the dual challenge of facilitating seamless financial services while ensuring robust anti-money laundering (AML) measures. Traditional AML processes, often cumbersome and prone to inaccuracies, can lead to undue account closures and prolonged procedures. Artificial intelligence (AI) offers a transformative solution, enabling FinTech companies to analyze real money laundering risks more accurately and efficiently, thereby streamlining AML compliance without compromising security.

Enhanced Risk Detection and Analysis

One of the primary advantages of AI in AML efforts is its ability to analyze vast amounts of data quickly and accurately. Traditional methods rely heavily on manual processes and rule-based systems, which can be slow and inflexible. AI, particularly machine learning (ML) and natural language processing (NLP), can sift through large datasets to identify patterns and anomalies indicative of money laundering activities. These technologies can learn from historical data, adapt to new trends, and refine their detection capabilities over time.

For instance, AI can analyze transaction data to detect unusual patterns, such as rapid movement of funds through multiple accounts (smurfing), transactions inconsistent with a customer's known financial behavior, or sudden changes in account activity. By identifying these red flags promptly, FinTech companies can focus their investigative resources on genuine threats, reducing the likelihood of unnecessary account closures and minimizing disruption for legitimate customers.

Reduction of False Positives

False positives—benign activities flagged as suspicious—are a significant challenge in traditional AML systems, often leading to unnecessary account closures and customer dissatisfaction. AI can dramatically reduce the rate of false positives by incorporating advanced analytical techniques and contextual understanding.

Machine learning algorithms can be trained on historical data to distinguish between legitimate and suspicious activities more accurately. By considering a broader range of factors, such as the nature of the transaction, the customer's history, and contextual data, AI systems can make more nuanced decisions. For example, a large transaction might trigger an alert in a traditional system, but an AI-driven system could recognize that the transaction aligns with the customer's typical financial behavior during a particular season.

Streamlining Compliance Procedures

AI can streamline AML compliance by automating many of the repetitive and time-consuming tasks involved in the process. Customer due diligence (CDD) and know your customer (KYC) procedures, essential components of AML, can be significantly expedited through AI-driven automation.

AI systems can automatically verify customer identities using various data sources, cross-referencing information to ensure accuracy and consistency. Natural language processing can be used to analyze unstructured data, such as social media profiles and news articles, to provide additional context about a customer's risk profile. This not only speeds up the onboarding process but also ensures that the information is up-to-date and comprehensive.

Furthermore, AI can continuously monitor customer accounts and transactions in real-time, providing ongoing risk assessments. This proactive approach allows FinTech companies to detect and address potential issues before they escalate, ensuring continuous compliance with regulatory requirements without the need for disruptive account closures.

Predictive Analytics for Proactive Measures

Predictive analytics, powered by AI, allows FinTech companies to take a proactive stance in their AML efforts. By analyzing historical data and identifying trends, AI can predict potential money laundering activities before they occur. This forward-looking capability enables FinTech companies to implement preventative measures, such as enhanced monitoring of high-risk accounts or preemptive customer outreach, to mitigate risks.

For example, if predictive analytics indicate a high likelihood of money laundering activities in a particular segment of accounts, FinTech companies can increase their scrutiny of transactions within that segment. This targeted approach ensures that resources are allocated efficiently and that legitimate customers experience minimal disruption.